arXiv:1206.0017v1 [math.FA] 31 May 2012

INTERPOLATION OF BILINEAR OPERATORS AND COMPACTNESS

E. BRANDANI DA SILVA[1] and D. L. FERNANDEZ[2]

[1]Universidade Estadual de Maringá - UEM, Departamento de Matemática - Av. Colombo 5790, Maringá - PR, Brazil - 87020-900

email: ebsilva@wnet.com.br

[2]Universidade Estadual de Campinas - Unicamp, Instituto de Matemática - Caixa Postal 6065, Campinas - SP, Brazil - 13083-859

email: dicesar@ime.unicamp.br

Abstract. The behavior of bilinear operators acting on interpolation of Banach spaces for the ρ method in relation to the compactness is analyzed. Similar results of Lions-Peetre, Hayakawa and Person's compactness theorems are obtained for the bilinear case and the ρ method.

Key words and phrases: interpolation, Banach spaces, bilinear operators, compactness

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 46B70, 46B50, 46M35

1 Introduction

The study of compactness of multilinear operators for interpolation spaces goes back to A.P.Calderón [4, pp.119-120]. Under an approximation hypothesis, Calderón established an one-side type general result, but restricted to complex interpolation spaces.

For the real method, if $\mathbf{E} = (E_0, E_1), \mathbf{F} = (F_0, F_1)$ and $\mathbf{G} = (G_0, G_1)$ are Banach couples, a classical result by Lions-Peetre assures that if T is a bounded bilinear operator from $(E_0 + E_1) \times (F_0 + F_1)$ into $G_0 + G_1$, whose restrictions $T|E_k \times F_k$ $(k = 0, 1)$ are also bounded from $E_k \times F_k$ into

G_k $(k = 0, 1)$, then T is bounded from $\mathbf{E}_{\theta,p;J} \times \mathbf{F}_{\theta,q;J}$ into $\mathbf{G}_{\theta,r;J}$, where $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1$. Lately several authors have obtained new and more general results for interpolation of bilinear and multilinear operators, for example see [9], [12] and [13].

On the other hand, the behaviour of compact multilinear operators under real interpolation functors or more general functors does not seem to have been yet investigated. This is our main subject in this work.

After some preliminaries on interpolation of linear and bilinear operators, generalizations of Lions-Peetre compactness theorems [11, Theorem V.2.1] (the one with the same departure spaces) and [11, Theorem V.2.2] (the one with the same arriving spaces) will be stated. The proof of the first one is an adaptation of the original proof, but the later requires an involved argument.

Thereafter, a two-side result for general interpolation functors of type ρ, with the additional cost of an approximation hypothesis on the departure Banach couples, will be then given. Thus, a theorem of Hayakawa type (i.e. a two-side result without approximation hypothesis) will be obtained. The point at this issue is that the Hayakawa type theorem is nothing but a corollary of the result with approximation hypothesis. Consequently, an one-side result holds for ordered Banach couples.

Finally, as a consequence of the second Lemma of Lions-Peetre type, a compactness theorem of Persson type is obtained. To avoid ponderous notations we have restricted ourselves to the bilinear case. A generalization for the ρ method of the Lions-Peetre's bilinear theorem will be also provided in this work.

This work was published at "Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, Volume 73, Issue 2, 2010, Pages 526-537". Since there are some gaps in the original proof of Theorem 4.3, we give a new proof. For this, we change the Lemma 4.2.

2 Preliminaries on Interpolation

2.1 Interpolation functors. A pair of Banach spaces $\mathbf{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ is said to be a Banach couple if E_0 and E_1 are continuously embedded in some Hausdorff linear topological space $\mathcal{E}$. Then we can form their intersection $E_0 \cap E_1$ and sum $E_0 + E_1$; it can be seen that $E_0 \cap E_1$ and $E_0 + E_1$ become

Banach spaces when endowed with the norms

$$|| \, x \, ||_{E_0 \cap E_1} = \max\{ \, ||x||_{E_0}, ||x||_{E_1} \},$$

and

$$|| \, x \, ||_{E_0 + E_1} = \inf_{x = x_0 + x_1} \{ ||x_0||_{E_0} + ||x_1||_{E_1} \},$$

respectively.

We shall say that a Banach space is an *intermediate space* in respect to a Banach couple $\mathbf{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ if

$$E_0 \cap E_1 \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow E_0 + E_1.$$

(The hookarrow $\hookrightarrow$ denotes bounded embeddings).

Let $\mathbf{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ and $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, F_1)$ be Banach couples. We shall denote by $L(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$ the set of all linear mappings from $E_0 + E_1$ into $F_0 + F_1$ such that $T|_{E_K}$ is *bounded* from E_k into F_k, $k = 0, 1$.

By an *interpolation functor* $\mathcal{F}$ we shall mean a functor which to each Banach couple $\mathbf{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ associates an intermediate space $\mathcal{F}(E_0, E_1)$ between E_0 and E_1, and such that $T|_{\mathcal{F}(E_0,E_1)} \in L(\mathcal{F}(E_0, E_1), \mathcal{F}(F_0, F_1))$, for all $T \in L(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$.

The interpolation functors which we shall consider depend on function parameters.

2.2 The function parameters. By a *function parameter* ρ we shall mean a continuous and positive function on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

We shall say that a function parameter ρ belongs to the class $\mathcal{B}$, if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\rho(1) = 1, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\overline{\rho}(s) = \sup_{t>0} \frac{\rho(st)}{\rho(t)} < +\infty, \qquad s > 0. \tag{2}$$

Also, we shall say that a function parameter $\rho \in \mathcal{B}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{B}^{+-}$ if it satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty \min(1, \, \frac{1}{t}) \overline{\rho}(t) \frac{dt}{t} < +\infty. \tag{3}$$

From (1)-(3) we see that $\mathcal{B}^{+-}$ is contained in Peetre‘s class $\mathcal{P}^{+-}$, i.e. the class of pseudo-concave function parameters which satisfies

$$\overline{\rho}(t) = o(\max(1, t)). \tag{4}$$

(See Gustavsson [6] and Gustavsson-Peetre [7].)

The function parameter $\rho_\theta(t) = t^\theta$, $0 \le \theta \le 1$, belongs to $\mathcal{B}$. It corresponds to the usual parameter θ. Further, $\rho_\theta \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$ if $0 < \theta < 1$, but ρ_0, $\rho_1 \notin \mathcal{B}^{+-}$.

To control function parameters we shall need to recall the Boyd indices (see Boyd [2], [3] and Maligranda [12]).

2.3 The Boyd indices. Given a function parameter $\rho \in \mathcal{B}$, the *Boyd indices* $\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}$ and $\beta_{\overline{\rho}}$ of the submultiplicative function $\overline{\rho}$ are defined, respectively, by

$$\alpha_{\overline{\rho}} = \sup_{1<t<\infty} \frac{\log \overline{\rho}(t)}{\log t}, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\beta_{\overline{\rho}} = \sup_{0<t<1} \frac{\log \overline{\rho}(t)}{\log t}. \tag{6}$$

The indices $\alpha_{\overline{\rho}}$ and $\beta_{\overline{\rho}}$ are real numbers with the followings properties

$$\alpha_{\overline{\rho}} < 0 \iff \int_1^\infty \overline{\rho}(t)\, \frac{dt}{t} < +\infty, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\beta_{\overline{\rho}} > 0 \iff \int_0^1 \overline{\rho}(t)\, \frac{dt}{t} < +\infty. \tag{8}$$

For the above mentioned function parameters ρ_0 and ρ_1 we have $\alpha_{\overline{\rho}_0} < 0$ and $\beta_{\overline{\rho}_1} > 0$, respectively. For the function parameter $\rho_\theta(t) = t^\theta$, $0 < \theta < 1$, we have $\alpha_{\rho_\theta} = \beta_{\rho_\theta} = \theta$.

It can be proved that for all $\rho \in \mathcal{B}$, with $\beta_{\overline{\rho}} > 0$ ($\alpha_{\overline{\rho}} < 0$, respectively) there exists an increasing (decreasing, respectively) function parameter ρ^+ (ρ_-, respectively) equivalent to ρ. Hence, if $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$ it can be considered an increasing parameter, and $\rho(t)/t$ a decreasing parameter. Furthermore, $\overline{\rho}$ can be considered non-decreasing, and $\overline{\rho}(t)/t$ non-increasing. Consequently, if $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$ and $0 < q \le \infty$, we have

$$||\rho^{-1}(t)\ \min(1,t)\ ||_{L^q_*} < \infty.$$

2.4 Interpolation with function parameters. Let $\{E_0, E_1\}$ and $\{F_0, F_1\}$ be Banach couples and let $L(\{E_0, E_1\}, \{F_0, F_1\})$ be the family of all linear maps $T : E_0 + E_1 \to F_0 + F_1$ such that $T|_{E_k}$ is *bounded* from E_k to F_k, $k = 0, 1$.

If E and F are intermediate spaces with respect to $\{E_0, E_1\}$ and $\{F_0, F_1\}$, respectively, we say that E and F are interpolation spaces of type ρ where $\rho \in \mathcal{P}^{+-}$ if given any $T \in L(\{E_0, E_1\}, \{F_0, F_1\})$ we have

$$||T||_{L(E,F)} \leq C||T||_0\ \overline{\rho}\bigg(\frac{||T||_1}{||T||_0}\bigg),$$

for all $T \in L(\{E_0, E_1)\}, \{F_0, F_1\})$, where $||T||_k = ||T||_{L(E_k,F_k)}$, $(k = 0, 1)$ and $C > 0$ is a constant.

Let $\{E_0, E_1\}$ be a Banach couple. The J and K functionals are defined by

$$J(t, x) = J(t, x; \mathbf{E}) = \max\{||x||_{E_0},\ t\ ||x||_{E_1}\}, \qquad x \in E_0 \cap E_1,$$

$$K(t, x) = K(t, x; \mathbf{E}) = \inf_{x=x_0+x_1}\{||x_0||_{E_0} +\ t\ ||x_1||_{E_1}\},$$

respectively, where in $K(t, x)$, $x_0 \in E_0$ and $x_1 \in E_1$. Then, we can define the following interpolation spaces.

The space $(E_0, E_1)_{\rho,q,K}$, $\quad \rho \in \mathcal{B}$ and $0 < q \leq +\infty$, consists of all $x \in E_0 + E_1$ which norm

$$||x||_{\rho,q;K} = ||(\ \rho(2^n)^{-1}K(2^n, x; \mathbf{E})\ )_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}||_{\ell^q(\mathbb{Z})}$$

is finite.

The space $(E_0, E_1)_{\rho,q;J}$, consists of all $x \in E_0 + E_1$, which it has a representation $x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n$ where $(u_n) \in E_0 \cap E_1$ and converges in $E_0 + E_1$, which norm

$$||x||_{\rho,q;J} = \inf ||(\ \rho(2^n)^{-1}J(2^n, u_n; \mathbf{E})\ )_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}||_{\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}),}$$

is finite, where the infimum is taken over all representations $x = \sum u_n$. Besides, we have for the interpolation space $(E_0, E_1)_{\rho,q,J}$ that if $x \in E_0 \cap E_1$ then

$$||x||_E \leq C||x||_0\ \ \overline{\rho}\bigg(\frac{||x||_1}{||x||_0}\bigg).$$

For $0 < q \leq +\infty$, the Equivalence Theorem between the J and K method holds, that is,

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\rho,q;J} = (E_0, E_1)_{\rho,q;K}.$$

2.5. The spaces of class J_ρ and K_ρ. Let E be an intermediate space respect to a Banach couple $\mathbf{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$. We say that E is an intermediate space of class $J_\rho(E_0, E_1)$ if the following embedding holds

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\rho,1;J} \hookrightarrow E, \tag{9}$$

and we say that E is an intermediate space of class $K_\rho(E_0, E_1)$ if the following embedding holds

$$E \hookrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\rho,\infty;K}. \tag{10}$$

We note that E is of class $J_\theta(E_0, E_1)$ if and only if for all $x \in E_0 \cap E_1$, it holds

$$||x||_E \leq C||x||_{E_0}\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{||x||_{E_1}}{||x||_{E_0}}\right). \tag{11}$$

2.6 The sequence spaces $\ell^q_s(G_m)$. To obtain our main result, we use the following sequence spaces which are defined as follows.
Let G be a linear space and let $(|| \cdot ||_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of norms on G. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we shall denote by G_n the space G equipped with the norm $|| \cdot ||_n$: $G_n = (G, || \cdot ||_n)$.
Let ρ be any function parameter and $0 < q \leq \infty$. We shall denote by $\ell^q_\rho(G_n)$ the linear space of all sequences $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, in G, such that

$$|||(a_n)|||_{\rho,q} = ||(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}||_{\ell^q_\rho(G_n)} = \left[\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}[\rho(2^{-n})||a_n||_n]^q\right]^{1/q} < +\infty.$$

The functional $||| \cdot |||_{\rho,q}$ is a norm on $\ell^q_\rho(G_n)$. The spaces $\ell^q_\rho(G_n)$ are related with interpolation by the following result:
Theorem 2.1. We have for the norm above that

$$(\ell^q_0(G_m), \ell^q_1(G_m))_{\rho,q} = \ell^q_f(G_m), \quad 0 < q \leq \infty.$$

where $f(t) = 1/\rho(t^{-1})$.

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let us set

$$\Delta_m = \Delta_m \mathbf{E} = E_0 \cap 2^{-m} E_1,$$

i.e., we take Δ_m to be the space $E_0 \cap E_1$ equipped with the norm $J(2^{-m}, \cdot)$.

Giving $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$, for $f(t) = 1/\rho(t)^{-1}$ every sequence $\{u_m\}$ in $\ell_f^q(\Delta_m)$ is summable in $E_0 + E_1$. Then, setting

$$\sigma(\{u_m\}) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} u_m, \tag{12}$$

by the Theorem 2.1 we see the mapping

$$\sigma : \ell_f^q(\Delta_m) \longrightarrow (E_0, E_1)_{\rho,q;J}$$

is bounded and

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\rho,q;J} = \ell_f^q(\Delta_m)/\sigma^{-1}(0).$$

Moreover, it can be proved that

$$\ell_f^q(\Delta_m) \subset (\ell_0^1(\Delta_m), \ell_1^1(\Delta_m))_{\rho,q}.$$

3 Bilinear Interpolation

The following result characterizes the bilinear interpolation operator which concerns to us. For the classical θ method this property was first established by Lions–Peetre [11, Th.I.4.1]. Here, we give the function parameter version.
Given Banach spaces E, F and G, we denote by $Bil(E \times F, G)$ the space of all bilinear operators from $E \times F$ into G, endowed with the norm

$$||T||_{Bil(X\times Y,Z)} = \sup\{||T(x,y)||_Z \mid \; ||x||_X \leq 1, ||y||_Y \leq 1\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let T be a bounded bilinear operator from $(E_0 + E_1) \times (F_0 + F_1)$ into $G_0 + G_1$ whose restrictions $T|_{E_k \times F_k}$ $(k = 0, 1)$ are bounded from $E_k \times F_k$ into G_k $(k = 0, 1)$. Then, for $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$ one has

$$T : E_{\gamma,p} \times F_{\rho,q} \to G_{\rho,r}\ ,$$

where $\gamma(t) = \overline{\rho}(t^{-1})^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$, $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1$ and

$$||T||_{Bil(E_{\gamma,p} \times F_{\rho,q}, G_{\rho,r})} \leq C\, ||T||_{Bil(E_0 \times F_0, G_0)}\, \overline{\rho} \left(\frac{||T||_{Bil(E_1 \times F_1, G_1)}}{||T||_{Bil(E_0 \times F_0, G_0)}} \right),$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. Let $M_k = ||T||_{Bil(E_k \times F_k, G_k)}$, $k = 0, 1$. Since $\overline{\gamma}(t) \leq \overline{\rho}(t)$ for all $t > 0$, it follows $\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$. Now, let $x \in E_{\gamma,p}$ and $y \in F_{\rho,q}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\{u_m\}$ and $\{v_m\}$ be sequences in $E_0 \cap E_1$ and $F_0 \cap E_1$, respectively, such that

$$x = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} u_m \ \text{ (in } E_0 + F_1), \qquad y = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} v_m \ \text{ (in } F_0 + F_1)$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} ||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1} J(2^m, u_m)\}||_{\ell^p} \leq ||x||_{\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}} + \varepsilon \\ ||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1} J(2^m, v_m)\}||_{\ell^q} \leq ||y||_{\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}} + \varepsilon. \end{array} \right. \tag{13}$$

Hence, for $k = 0, 1$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} ||\{2^{(km} \gamma(2^m)^{-1} u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_k)} \leq ||x||_{\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}} + \varepsilon \\ ||\{2^{(km} \rho(2^m)^{-1} v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_k)} \leq ||y||_{\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}} + \varepsilon. \end{array} \right. \tag{14}$$

We have

$$x_i = \sum_{|m| \leq i} u_m \in E_0 \cap E_1 \ , \ \ y_j = \sum_{|m| \leq j} v_m \in F_0 \cap F_1$$

and

$$x_i \overset{E_0+E_1}{\longrightarrow} x, \ \text{ as } \ i \to \infty, \ \ y_j \overset{F_0+F_1}{\longrightarrow} y, \ \text{ as } \ j \to \infty.$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} &||T(x,y) - T(x_i, y_j)||_{G_0+G_1} \leq \\ &\quad \leq \ ||T(x - x_i, y)||_{G_0+G_1} + ||T(x_i, y - y_j)||_{G_0+G_1} \\ &\quad \leq \ M\{||x - x_i||_{E_0+E_1} ||y||_{F_0+F_1} + ||x_i||_{E_0+E_1} ||y - y_j||_{F_0+F_1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$T(x,\ y) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} T(u_i,\ v_j) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ T(u_m,\ v_{n-m}) \ \text{ in } \ G_0 + G_1.$$

Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_m T(u_m,\ v_{n-m})$ converges in $G_0 + G_1$, and by Young's inequality and (13),

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\{2^{kn}\rho(2^n)^{-1} \sum_m ||T(u_m,\ v_{n-m})||_{G_k}\}||_{\ell^r} \\
&\quad \le \ ||\{2^{kn}\rho(2^n)^{-1} \sum_m M_k||u_m||_{E_k}||v_{n-m})||_{F_k}\}||_{\ell^r} \\
&\quad \le \ M_k||\{2^{kn}\rho(2^n)^{-1} \sum_m ||u_m||_{E_k}\ ||v_{n-m}||_{F_k}\}||_{\ell^r} \\
&\quad = \ M_k||\{\sum_m 2^{kn}2^{-km}2^{km}\rho(2^n)^{-1}\overline{\rho}(2^{-m})^{-1}\overline{\rho}(2^{-m})\ ||u_m||_{E_k}\ ||v_{n-m}||_{F_k}\}||_{\ell^r} \\
&\quad \le \ M_k||\{\sum_m 2^{k(n-m)}2^{km}\rho(2^(n-m))^{-1}\overline{\rho}(2^{-m})\ ||u_m||_{E_k}\ ||v_{n-m}||_{F_k}\}||_{\ell^r} \\
&\quad = \ M_k||\{\sum_m 2^{km}\overline{\rho}(2^{-m})||u_m||_{E_k}2^{k(n-m)}\rho(2^{(n-m)})^{-1}\ ||v_{n-m}||_{F_k}\}||_{\ell^r}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\le \ M_k\ ||\{2^{(km}\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\ u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_k)}\ ||\{2^{(km}\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_k)} < +\infty\,, \tag{15}$$

for $k = 0,\ 1$. Consequently, for each n, $\sum_m\ ||T(u_m,\ v_{n-m})||_{G_0\cap G_1},\ < +\infty$ and so

$$w_m = \sum_n\ T(u_m,\ v_{n-m}) \in G_0 \cap G_1,$$

and

$$T(x,\ y) = \sum_m w_m \quad \text{in} \quad G_0 + G_1\,. \tag{16}$$

From the definition of ρ-method for the J functor and from (16) one has,

$$||T(x,\ y)||_{G_{\rho,\ r}} \le \ ||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_0)}\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}\ w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_1)}}{||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_0)}}\right)$$

$$= \ C\,\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{\frac{||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_0)}}{||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}\ w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_1)}}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}\ w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_1)}}\frac{1}{\frac{||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_0)}}{||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}\ w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_1)}}}\right)^{-1}. \tag{17}$$

Since $\frac{\overline{\rho}(1/t)}{1/t}$ and $\overline{\rho}(t)$ are non-decreasing, from (15) and (17)

$$
\begin{aligned}
||T(x,\ y)||_{G_{\rho,\,r}} \leq C\,\overline{\rho}&\left(\frac{1}{\frac{M_0\,||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_0)}\,||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_0)}}{||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}\,w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_1)}}}\right)\\
&\times\left(\frac{1}{||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}\,w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_1)}}\,\frac{1}{\frac{M_0\,||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_0)}\,||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_0)}}{||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}\,w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_1)}}}\right)^{-1}\\
\leq\ & C\,M_0\,\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}\,w_m\}||_{\ell^r(G_1)}}{M_0\,||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_0)}\,||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_0)}}\right)\\
&\times\left(\frac{1}{||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_0)}\,||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_0)}}\right)^{-1}\\
\leq\ & C\,M_0\,\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{M_1\,||\{2^m\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_1)}\,||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_1)}}{M_0\,||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_0)}\,||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_0)}}\right)\\
&\times\left(\frac{1}{||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_0)}\,||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_0)}}\right)^{-1}. \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, since $\overline{\rho}(1/t)(1/t)^{-1}$ and $\overline{\rho}(t)$ are submultiplicative, from (18) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
||T(x,\ y)||_{G_{\rho,\,r}} \leq C\,M_0\,\overline{\rho}(\frac{M_1}{M_0})\overline{\rho}&\left(\frac{1}{||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_0)}\,||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_0)}}\right)\\
&\times\left(\frac{1}{||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_0)}\,||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_0)}}\right)^{-1}\\
&\times\overline{\rho}(||\{2^m\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_1)}\,||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_1)})\\
\leq\ & C\,M_0\,\overline{\rho}(\frac{M_1}{M_0})\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_0)}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{||\{\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_0)}}\right)^{-1}\\
&\times\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_0)}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{||\{\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_0)}}\right)^{-1}\\
&\times\overline{\rho}(||\{2^m\gamma(2^m)^{-1}\,u_m\}||_{\ell^p(E_1)})\overline{\rho}(||\{2^m\rho(2^m)^{-1}v_m\}||_{\ell^q(F_1)})\\
\leq\ & C\,M_0\,\overline{\rho}(\frac{M_1}{M_0})\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{||x||_{\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}}+\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{1}{||x||_{\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}}+\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{||y||_{\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}}+\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{1}{||y||_{\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}}+\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}\\
&\times\overline{\rho}(||x||_{\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}}+\varepsilon)\overline{\rho}(||y||_{\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}}+\varepsilon)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since ε is arbitrary, for x and y fixed, taking $\varepsilon \to 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} ||T(x,\ y)||_{G_{\rho,\ r}} \quad \leq \quad & M_0 \overline{\rho}(\frac{M_1}{M_0}) \overline{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{||x||_{\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{||x||_{\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}}}\right)^{-1} \overline{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{||y||_{\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{||y||_{\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}}}\right)^{-1} \\ & \times \overline{\rho}(||x||_{\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}}) \overline{\rho}(||y||_{\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\overline{\rho}(1) = 1$, taking the supremum on $||x||_{\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}} \leq 1$ and $||y||_{\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}} \leq 1$ the result follows.

For more on bilinear interpolation see Janson [5], Maligranda [8] and Mastylo [9].

4 Compactness Theorems of Lions-Peetre Type

Given Banach spaces E, F and G, a bounded bilinear mapping T from $E \times F$ into G is *compact* if the image of the set $M = \{(x, y) \in E \times F : \max\{||x||_E,\ ||y||_F\} \leq 1\}$ is a totally bounded subset of G.

In this section versions of Lions-Peetre Theorems about compactness of operators in interpolated spaces by the ρ method shall be given. We begin with the version of the Theorem V.2.1([11]).

Theorem 4.1. Let E and F be Banach spaces, $\mathbf{G} = (G_0, G_1)$ a Banach couple and G be a Banach space of class $J_\rho(G_0, G_1)$, $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$. Given a bounded bilinear operator T from $E \times F$ into $G_0 + G_1$, such that $T(E \times F) \subset G_0 \cap G_1$, T is compact from $E \times F$ into G_0 and bounded from $E \times F$ into G_1, then T is also compact from $E \times F$ into G.

Proof. Let $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ be a bounded sequence in $E \times F$. Since T is compact from $E \times F$ into G_0, there exists a subsequence $\{(x_\nu, y_\nu)\}$ such that $\{T(x_\nu, y_\nu)\}$ is a G_0-Cauchy sequence. On the other hand, since G belongs to class $J_\rho(G_0,\ G_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ||T(x_\mu, y_\mu) - T(x_\nu, y_\nu)||_G \leq \\ & \quad \leq \quad C\, ||T(x_\mu, y_\mu) - T(x_\nu, y_\nu)||_{G_0}\ \overline{\rho}\left(\frac{||T(x_\mu, y_\mu) - T(x_\nu, y_\nu)||_{G_1}}{||T(x_\mu, y_\mu) - T(x_\nu, y_\nu)||_{G_0}}\right) \\ & \quad \leq \quad C\, \overline{\rho}(||T||_{Bil(E \times F,\ G_1)})\, \frac{\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{||T(x_\mu, y_\mu) - T(x_\nu, y_\nu)||_{G_0}}\right)}{\frac{1}{||T(x_\mu, y_\mu) - T(x_\nu, y_\nu)||_{G_0}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{T(x_\nu, y_\nu)\}$ is a G-Cauchy sequence and T is compact from $E \times F$ into G.

Now, we turn over the "Lions-Peetre Theorem V.2.2 ([11])". A preliminary result which depends on an approximation hypothesis is previously required.

Approximation Hypothesis. A Banach couple $\mathcal{X} = (X_0, X_1)$ verifies the approximation hypothesis (AP) if there exists a sequence $\{P_n\}$ in $L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$, with $P_n(X_0 + X_1) \subset X_0 \cap X_1$, and two other sequences $\{P_n^+\}$ and $\{P_n^-\}$ in $L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$, such that

(AP1) They are uniformly bounded in $L(\mathcal{X})$;

(AP2) $I = P_n + P_n^+ + P_n^-, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$;

(AP3) $P_n^+ = P_n^+|_{X_0} \in L(X_0,\ X_1)$ and $P_n^- = P_n^-|_{X_1} \in L(X_1,\ X_0)$, and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||P_n^+||_{L(X_0,\ X_1)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||P_n^-||_{L(X_1,\ X_0)} = 0.$$

The following lemma is required.

Lemma 4.2. Given Banach spaces E, F and G, let $T_n \in Bil(E\times F, G)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of bilinear operators such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_n\|_{Bil(E\times F,G)} = \lambda$. Then, there exits a sequence $(x_n, y_n) \subset U_E \times U_F$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_n(x_n, y_n)\|_G = \lambda\,.$$

Proof. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_n\|_{Bil(E\times F,G)} = \lambda$, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $N > 0$ with $|\|T_n\|_{Bil(E\times F,G)} - \lambda| < \varepsilon$ for all $n > N$. Then,

$$\|T_n(x, y)\|_G \le \|T_n\|_{Bil(E\times F,G)} < \varepsilon + \lambda$$

for all $(x, y) \in U_E \times U_F$. On other hand, since $\|T_n\|_{Bil(E\times F,G)} = \sup_{(x,y)\in U_E\times U_F} \|T_n(x, y)\|_G$, by the definition of supremum, for the given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $(x_n, y_n) \in U_E \times U_F$, such that $\|T_n\|_{Bil(E\times F,G)} - \varepsilon < \|T_n(x_n, y_n)\|_G \le \|T_n\|_{Bil(E\times F,G)} < \varepsilon + \lambda$, this implies $\|T_n\|_{Bil(E\times F,G)} - \varepsilon - \lambda < \|T_n(x_n, y_n)\|_G - \lambda < \varepsilon$, since $-\varepsilon < \|T_n\|_{Bil(E\times F,G)} - \lambda$. Thus, one has $-2\varepsilon < \|T_n(x_n, y_n)\|_G - \lambda < \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon$, for all $n > N$, which gives the desired limit.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathbf{E} = (E_0, F_1)$ and $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, F_1)$ be Banach couples satisfying the Approximation Hypothesis. Given $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$, a Banach space G and $T \in Bil((E_0+E_1)\times(F_0+F_1), G)$, such that T is compact from $E_0\times F_0$ into G, then T is also compact from $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p} \times \mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}$ into G, for $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ and $\gamma(t) = 1/\overline{\rho}(t^{-1})$.

Proof. Step 1: Let (P_m) and (Q_m) be the approximating sequences in $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{F}$, respectively. We are considering here the following scheme:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}\overset{(P_m,Q_m)}{\longrightarrow}E_0\cap E_1\times F_0\cap F_1\xrightarrow{i_j}E_j\times F_j\xrightarrow{T}G,$$

for $j=0,1$ where i_j is the inclusion operator. Then, $T(P_m,Q_m)$ is compact from $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,q}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}$ into G. To prove the compactness of T it must be shown that

$$||T-T(P_m,Q_m)||_{Bil(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q},G)}\longrightarrow 0\quad\text{as}\quad m\longrightarrow\infty.$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned}T-T(P_m,Q_m) &= T(I,I)-T(I,Q_m)-T(P_m,Q_m)+T(P_m,Q_m)+\\ &\quad T(I,Q_m)-T(P_m,Q_m)+T(P_m,I)-T(P_m,Q_m)\\ &= T(I-P_m,I-Q_m)+T(I-P_m,Q_m)+T(P_m,I-Q_m)\\ &= T(P_m^+,+P_m^-,Q_m^++Q_m^-)+T(P_m^+,+P_m^-,Q_m)+T(P_m,Q_m^++Q_m^-)\\ &= T(P_m^+,Q_m^+)+T(P_m^+,Q_m^-)+T(P_m^-,Q_m^+)+T(P_m^-,Q_m^-)+\\ &\quad T(P_m^+,Q_m)+T(P_m^-,Q_m)+T(P_m,Q_m^+)+T(P_m,Q_m^-)\quad(*),\end{aligned}$$

it needs be shown that each term in $(*)$ goes to zero in $Bil(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q},G)$.

Step 2: We will show that $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty}||T(P_m^+,Q_m^+)||_{Bil(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,q}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q},G)}=0$.

By the hypothesis we have

$$||T(P_n^+,Q_n^+)||_{Bil(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q},G)}\leq C\,||T(P_n^+,Q_n^+)||_0\,\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{||T(P_n^+,Q_n^+)||_1}{||T(P_n^+,Q_n^+)||_0}\right),$$

where $||.||_k=||.||_{Bil(E_k\times F_k,G)}$, $k=0,1$. Let $x\in B_{E_0}$ and $y\in B_{F_0}$, since $P_n^+:E_0\to E_0\cap E_1$ and $Q_n^+:F_0\to F_1$, one has

$$E_0\times F_0\overset{(P_m^+,Q_m^+)}{\longrightarrow}(E_0\cap E_1)\times F_1\xrightarrow{T}G$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}||T||_{Bil((E_0\cap E_1)\times F_k)} &= \sup_{(a,b)\in B_{E_0\cap E_1}\times B_{F_k}}||T(a,b)||_G\\ &\leq \sup_{(a,b)\in B_{E_k}\times B_{F_k}}||T(a,b)||_{G)}\\ &= ||T||_{Bil(E_k\times F_k,G)}\quad,\quad k=0,1\,,\end{aligned}$$

where B_E denotes the unitary ball in the Banach space E. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
||T(P_m^+x, Q_m^+y)||_G &\leq C||P_m^+x||_{E_0\cap E_1}||Q_m^+y||_{F_1} \\
&\leq C(||P_m^+x||_{E_0} + ||P_m^+x||_{E_1})||Q_m^+y||_{F_1} \\
&\leq C(||P_m^+||_{L(E_0,E_0)} + ||P_m^+||_{L(E_0,E_1)})||Q_m^+||_{L(F_0,F_1)}||x||_{E_0}||y||_{F_0} \\
&\leq C||Q_m^+||_{L(F_0,F_1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By $(AP3)$, $||Q_m^+||_{L(F_0,F_1)} \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$, then we get $||T(P_m^+x, Q_m^+y)||_0 \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$, which proves the assertion.
Step 3: $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} ||T(P_m^+, Q_m^-)||_{Bil(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,q}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho q},G)} = 0.$
We have

$$||T(P_m^+, Q_m^-)||_\theta \leq C\, ||T(P_m^+, Q_m^-)||_0\, \overline{\rho}\left(\frac{||T(P_m^+, Q_m^-)||_1}{||T(P_n^+, Q_n^-)||_0}\right).$$

Both factors to the right in the above inequality are bounded. Checking that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} ||T(P_m^+, Q_m^-)||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)} = 0\,,$$

is sufficient.
Suppose $||T(P_m^+, Q_m^-)||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)} \nrightarrow 0$. Then, there exists $\lambda > 0$ and a subsequence $\{m'\}$ such that $||T(P_{m'}^+, Q_{m'}^-)||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)} > \lambda$ for all $\{m'\}$.
Since the sequence $\{T(P_m^+, Q_m^-)\}$ is uniformly bounded, and

$$0 < \lambda < ||T(P_{m'}^+, Q_{m'}^-)||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)} \leq ||T||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)}\|P_m^+\|_{L(E_0,E_0)}\|Q_m^-\|_{L(E_0,E_0)}$$

the sequence $\{||T(P_{m'}^+, Q_{m'}^-)||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)}\}_{m'}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{||T(P_{m''}^+, Q_{m''}^-)||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)}\}_{m''}$ to $k \geq \lambda > 0$. By the Lemma 4.2 there is a sequence $(x_{m''}, y_{m''}) \in U_{E_0} \times U_{F_0}$ such that $\lim_{m''\to\infty} ||T(P_{m''}^+, Q_{m''}^-)||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)} = k > 0$. By the hypothesis, $T \in Bil((E_0 + E_1) \times (F_0 + F_1), G)$ and since $P_n^+ : E_0 \to E_0 + E_1$ and $Q_n^- : F_0 \to F_0 + F_1$, considering that

$$E_0 \times F_0 \xrightarrow{(P_m^+,Q_m^-)} (E_0 + E_1) \times (F_0 + F_1) \xrightarrow{T} G,$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned}
||T(P_{m''}^+x_{m''}, Q_{m''}^-y_{m''})||_G &\leq ||T||_{Bil((E_0+E_1)\times(F_0+F_1),G)}||P_{m''}^+x_{m''}||_{E_0+E_1}||Q_{m''}^-y_{m''}||_{F_0+F_1} \\
&\leq C\, ||P_{m''}^+x_{m''}||_{E_1}||Q_{m''}^-y_{m''}||_{F_0} \\
&\leq C\, ||P_{m''}^+||_{L(E_0,E_1)}||x_{m''}||_{E_0}||Q_{m''}^-||_{L(F_0,F_0)}||y_{m''}||_{F_0}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and, by the hypothesis (AP), $||P^+_{m''}||_{L(E_0,E_1)} \to 0$ for $m'' \to \infty$. Thus, we have a contradiction.

Step 4: $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} ||T(P^-_m, Q^-_m)||_{Bil(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,q}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q},G)} = 0.$
The proof is symmetrical to step 2, considering the scheme

$$E_1 \times F_1 \overset{(P^-_m,Q^-_m)}{\longrightarrow} (E_0\cap E_1)\times F_0 \xrightarrow{T} G$$

Step 5: $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} ||T(P^-_m, Q^+_m)||_{Bil(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,q}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho q},G)} = 0$
The proof is symmetrical to step 3, considering the scheme

$$E_0 \times F_0 \overset{(P^-_m,Q^+_m)}{\longrightarrow} (E_0+E_1)\times (F_0+F_1) \xrightarrow{T} G\,.$$

Step 6: $\lim\limits_{m\to 0} ||T(P_m, Q^+_m)||_{Bil(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,q}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q},G)} = \lim\limits_{m\to\infty} ||T(P_m, Q^-_m)||_{Bil(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,q}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q},G)} = 0.$
For $T(P_m, Q^+_m)$ one has

$$||T(P_m,Q^+_m)||_{Bil(\mathbf{E}_{\gamma q}\times\mathbf{F}_{\rho,q},G)} \le C\,||T(P_m,Q^+_m)||_0\,\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{||T(P_m,Q^+_m)||_1}{||T(P_m,Q^+_m)||_0}\right).$$

Both factors to the right in the above inequality are bounded. Checking that the former tends to 0 is sufficient.
Suppose $||T(P_m,Q^+_m)||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)} \nrightarrow 0$. Then, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ and a subsequence $\{m'\}$ such that $||T(P_{m'},Q^+_{m'})||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)} > \lambda_0$ for all $\{m'\}$.
Since the sequence $\{T(P_m,Q^+_m)\}$ is uniformly bounded, and

$$0<\lambda_0<||T(P_{m'},Q^+_{m'})||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)} \le ||T||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)}\|P_m\|_{L(E_0,E_0)}\|Q^+_m\|_{L(E_0,E_0)}$$

the sequence $\{||T(P_{m'},Q^+_{m'})||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)}\}_{m'}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{||T(P_{m''},Q^+_{m''})||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)}\}_{m''}$ to $k \ge \lambda > 0$. By the Lemma 4.2 there is a sequence $(x_{m''},y_{m''}) \in U_{E_0}\times U_{F_0}$ such that $\lim_{m''\to\infty} ||T(P_{m''},Q^+_{m''})||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G)} = k > 0$. By the hypothesis, $T \in Bil((E_0+E_1)\times(F_0+F_1),G)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|T\|_{Bil(E_0\times(F_0+F_1),G)} &= \sup_{(x,y)\in U_{E_0}\times U_{F_0+F_1}} \|T(x,y)\|_G \\ &\le \sup_{(x,y)\in U_{E_0+E_1}\times U_{F_0+F_1}} \|T(x,y)\|_G \\ &= \|T\|_{Bil((E_0+E_1)\times(F_0+F_1),G)}\,,\end{aligned}$$

and since $P_n : E_0 \to E_0$ and $Q_n^+ : F_0 \to F_0 + F_1$, considering that

$$E_0 \times F_0 \overset{(P_m, Q_m^+)}{\longrightarrow} E_0 \times (F_0 + F_1) \overset{T}{\longrightarrow} G,$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} ||T(P_{m''}x_{m''}, Q^+_{m''}y_{m''})||_G &\le ||T||_{Bil(E_0\times(F_0+F_1),G)}||P_{m''}x_{m''}||_{E_0}||Q^+_{m''}y_{m''}||_{F_0+F_1} \\ &\le C\,||P_{m''}x_{m''}||_{E_0}||Q^+_{m''}y_{m''}||_{F_1} \\ &\le C\,||P_{m''}||_{L(E_0,E_0)}||x_{m''}||_{E_0}||Q^+_{m''}||_{L(F_0,F_1)}||y_{m''}||_{F_0}\,, \end{aligned}$$

and $||Q^+_{m''}||_{L(F_0,F_1)} \to 0$ for $m'' \to \infty$, by the hypothesis AP. Then we get a contradiction.
Using a similar reasoning, considering the scheme

$$E_1 \times F_1 \overset{(P_m, Q_m^-)}{\longrightarrow} E_1 \times (F_0 + F_1) \overset{T}{\longrightarrow} G,$$

it is obtained that $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} ||T(P_m, Q_m^-)||_{Bil(E_1\times F_1,G)} = 0$.
The proof is complete.
The second theorem of Lions–Peetre type may be established now.
Theorem 4.3. Let E and F be Banach spaces of class $K_\gamma(E_0, E_1)$ and $K_\rho(F_0, F_1)$ respectively, where $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$ and $\gamma(t) = 1/\overline{\rho}(t^{-1})$ and let G be any Banach space. Given $T \in Bil((E_0 + E_1) \times (F_0 + F_1), G)$, such that T is compact from $E_0\times F_0$ into G, then T is also compact from $E\times F$ into G.

Proof. Let σ be the mapping given by (12). We shall prove that

$$\widetilde{T} = T \circ (\sigma, \sigma) : \ell^\infty_\gamma(\Delta_m \mathbf{E}) \times \ell^\infty_\rho(\Delta_m \mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow G \tag{19}$$

is compact. For either $X = \mathbf{E}$ or $X = \mathbf{F}$, we have

$$\overline{\ell^1_0(\Delta_m X) \cap \ell^1_1(\Delta_m X)}^k = \ell^1_k(\Delta_m X), \quad k = 0, 1.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let us consider the cutting operators P_n, P_n^+ and P_n^-, defined on $\ell^1_0(\Delta_m X) + \ell^1_1(\Delta_m X)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(u_m) &= \{\cdots, 0, 0, u_{-n}, u_{-n+1} \cdots, u_0, \cdots, u_{n-1}, u_n, 0, 0, \cdots\}, \\ P_n^+(u_m) &= \{\cdots, 0, 0, u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, \cdots\}, \\ P_n^-(u_m) &= \{\cdots, u_{-n-2}, u_{-n-1}, 0, 0, \cdots\}, \end{aligned}$$

thus, the Banach couple $(\ell_0^1(\Delta_m X), \ell_1^1(\Delta_m X))$ verifies the Approximation Hypothesis, and

$$\ell_f^\infty(\Delta_m X) \subset (\ell_0^1(\Delta_m X), \ell_1^1(\Delta_m X))_{\rho,\infty},$$

where either $f(t) = 1/\rho(t)^{-1}$ or $f(t) = 1/\gamma(t)^{-1}$. Since the conditions of Theorem 4.3 are verified, the bilinear mapping (20) is compact and, *a fortiori*, T is also compact.

5 Compactness Theorem of Hayakawa Type

In this section we establish a bilinear version of Hayakawa's compactness theorem [8] for the ρ method, in which we assume compactness in both departure spaces and any inclusion conditions. We begin with an preliminary result.

Theorem 5.1. Let us assume that $\mathbf{E} = (E_0, E_1)$ and $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, F_1)$ are Banach couples which satisfy the approximation hypothesis (AP) and that $\mathbf{G} = (G_0, G_1)$ is an arbitrary Banach couple. Let $T \in Bil((E_0+E_1)\times(F_0+F_1), G_0+G_1)$ such that the restrictions $T|_{E_k\times F_k}$ $(k = 0, 1)$ are bounded and compact from $E_k \times F_k$ into G_k, $(k = 0, 1)$. Given $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$ and $\gamma(t) = 1/\overline{\rho}(t^{-1})$, if $E \in J_\gamma(E_0, E_1) \cap K_\gamma(E_0, E_1)$, $F \in J_\rho(F_0, F_1) \cap K_\rho(F_0, F_1)$ and $G \in J_\rho(G_0, G_1) \cap K_\rho(G_0, G_1)$, then T is also compact from $E \times F$ into G. Moreover, if $E_0 \hookrightarrow E_1$ and $F_0 \hookrightarrow F_1$, it is enough to consider compactness only from $E_0 \times F_0$ into G_0.

Proof. Step 1: Let $\{P_m\}$, $\{P_m^+\}$, $\{P_m^-\}$ and $\{Q_n\}$, $\{Q_n^+\}$, $\{Q_n^-\}$ be approximating sequences in the Banach couples $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{F}$, respectively, satisfying the Approximation Conditions (AP1)-(AP3).

To show that $T : E \times F \to G$ is compact, it is suffices to prove that:

i) $T \circ (P_m, Q_n)$, $T \circ (P_m, I)$ and $T \circ (I, Q_n)$ are compact from $E \times F$ into G.

ii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||T - T \circ (P_m, I) - T \circ (I, Q_n) + T \circ (P_m, Q_n)||_{Bil(E\times F,G)} = 0$.

To prove i) we factorize $T \circ (P_m, Q_n)$ using the following diagram:

$$E \times F \overset{(P_m,Q_n)}{\longrightarrow} (E_0 \cap E_1) \times (F_0 \cap F_1) \hookrightarrow E_j \times F_j \xrightarrow{T} G_j,$$

for $j = 0, 1$.

Since T is compact from $E_0 \times F_0$ into G_0, it follows, by Theorem 4.1 that $T \circ (P_m, Q_n)$ is compact from $E \times F$ into G.

Step 2: For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $T \circ (P_m, I)$ must also be shown to be compact. We shall show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||T \circ (P_m, I) - T(P_m, Q_n)||_{Bil(E\times F,G)} = 0.$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} T \circ (P_m, I) - T \circ (P_m, Q_n) &= T \circ (P_m, I - Q_n) \\ &= T \circ (P_m, Q_n^+ + Q_n^-) \\ &= T \circ (P_m, Q_n^+) + T \circ (P_m, Q_n^-), \end{aligned}$$

we need to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||T\circ(P_m, Q_n^+)||_{Bil(E\times F,G)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||T\circ(P_m, Q_n^-)||_{Bil(E\times F,G)} = 0. \tag{20}$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} ||T \circ (P_m, Q_n^+)||_{Bil(E\times F,G)} &\leq C\, ||T(P_m, Q_n^+)||_0\, \overline{\rho}\left(\frac{||T(P_m, Q_n^+)||_1}{||T(P_m, Q_n^+)||_0}\right) \\ &\leq C\, \overline{\rho}(||T||_1)\, \frac{\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{||T(P_m,Q_n^+)||_0}\right)}{\frac{1}{||T(P_m,Q_n^+)||_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it's enough to show that $||T(P_m, Q_n^+)||_{Bil(E_0\times F_0,G_0)} \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. By contradiction, let us suppose the contrary.
Let $\{(a_n, b_n)\}$ be a bounded sequence in $E_0 \times F_0$. Since $\{P_m\}$ and $\{Q_n^+\}$ are uniformly bounded in $E_0 \times F_0$, there is a subsequence $\{n'\}$ and a $\lambda \neq 0$ such that

$$||T(P_m a_{n'}, Q_{n'}^+ b_{n'})||_{G_0} \to \lambda\ , \quad \text{as } n' \to \infty.$$

By the compactness assumption on $T : E_0 \times F_0 \to G_0$ we may assume, passing the another subsequence if necessary, that $\{T(P_m a_{n'},\ Q_{n'}^+ b_{n'})\}$ converges to some element b in G_0, so that $||b||_{G_0} = \lambda$. But

$$\begin{aligned} ||T(P_m a_{n'},\ Q_{n'}^+ b_{n'})||_{G_0+G_1} &\leq C\, ||P_m a_{n'}||_{E_0+E_1} ||Q_{n'}^+ b_{n'}||_{F_0+F_1} \\ &\leq C\, ||P_m a_{n'}||_{E_0} ||Q_{n'}^+ b_{n'}||_{F_1} \\ &\leq C\, ||a_{n'}||_{E_0} ||Q_{n'}^+||_{L(F_1,F_0)} ||b_{n'}||_{F_0} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n'\to\infty} ||Q_{n'}^+||_{L(F_1,F_0)} = 0$, it follows that $T(P_m a_{n'}, Q_{n'}^+ b_{n'}) \to 0$ in $G_0 + G_1$, as $n \to \infty$. Consequently $b = 0$, and $\lambda = 0$, which is not the case.

To prove the second limit in (21) is also zero, we take a bounded sequence in $E_1 \times F_1$ and proceed analogously to the first case, but now using the compactness assumption from $E_1 \times F_1$ into G_1. Observe that this assumption can be avoided if we assume that $E_0 \hookrightarrow E_1$ and $F_1 \hookrightarrow F_0$.
Analogously we prove that $T \circ (I, Q_n)$ is compact.
Step 3: Finally, (ii) shall be proved. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & T - T \circ (P_m, I) - T \circ (I, Q_n) + T \circ (P_m, Q_n) = \\ = \;\; & T \circ (P_m^+ + P_m^-, Q_n^+ + Q_n^-) \\ = \;\; & T \circ (P_m^+, Q_n^+) + T \circ (P_m^+, Q_n^-) + T \circ (P_m^-, Q_n^+) + T \circ (P_m^-, Q_n^-). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

To conclude the proof we have to verify that each term on the right side of (22) converges to zero as $n \to \infty$. However, in the proof of (21) the only property used of P_m is boundedness. Thus, the same proof works with P_m replaced by P_m^+ or P_m^-. Hence, $T \circ (P_m^+, Q_n^+)$, $T \circ (P_m^-, Q_n^+)$, $T \circ (P_m^+, Q_n^-)$ and $T \circ (P_m^-, Q_n^-)$ converge to zero, as $m, n \to \infty$.
The proof is complete.
Now, our main goal will be dealt with. We shall state a bilinear version of Hayakawa's compactness theorem. The idea is to reduce it to Theorem 5.1.
Theorem 5.2. Let $\mathbf{E} = (E_0, E_1)$, $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, F_1)$ and $\mathbf{G} = (G_0, G_1)$ be Banach couples. Let $T \in Bil(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G})$ be given, such that the restrictions $T|_{E_k \times F_k}$ are compact from $E_k \times F_k$ into G_k, $k = 0, 1$. Then, given $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$, T is compact from $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p} \times \mathbf{F}_{\rho,q}$ into $\mathbf{G}_{\rho,r}$, where $\gamma(t) = 1/\overline{\rho}(t^{-1})$ and $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1$.
Proof. To prove that the bounded bilinear mapping

$$T : \mathbf{E}_{\gamma,p} \times \mathbf{F}_{\rho,q} \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\rho,r}$$

is compact, it is enough to show that

$$\widetilde{T} = T \circ (\sigma, \sigma) : (\ell_0^q(\Delta_m \mathbf{E}), \ell_1^q(\Delta_m \mathbf{E}))_{\gamma,q} \times (\ell_0^q(\Delta_m \mathbf{F}), \ell_1^q(\Delta_m \mathbf{F}))_{\rho,q} \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\rho r}$$

is compact. But, since the mappings

$$\ell_k^1(\Delta_m \mathbf{E}) \times \ell_k^1(\Delta_m \mathbf{F}) \xrightarrow{(\sigma,\sigma)} E_k \times F_k \xrightarrow{T} G_k \quad (k = 0, 1)$$

are compact, we need to have at hand approximations sequences which satisfy (AP) so that Theorem 5.1 may be applied. Assuming the existence of such approximations sequences, it follows by Theorem 4.1 that

$$\widetilde{T} : (\ell_0^1(\Delta_m \mathbf{E}), \ell_1^1(\Delta_m \mathbf{E}))_{\gamma,p} \times (\ell_0^1(\Delta_m \mathbf{F}), \ell_1^1(\Delta_m \mathbf{F}))_{\rho,q} \longrightarrow (G_0, G_1)_{\rho,r}$$

is also compact. Finally, taking into account that $(E_0, E_1)_{\rho,q;J} = \ell^q_\gamma(\Delta_m)/\sigma^{-1}(0)$, it follows that the mapping T is compact.
It only remains to verify that the Banach couples $(\ell^1_0(\Delta_m X), \ell^1_1(\Delta_m X))$, where either $X = \mathbf{E}$ or $X = \mathbf{F}$, satisfy the approximation hypothesis (AP).
For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us consider the cutting operators P_n, P_n^+ and P_n^-, defined on $\ell^1_0(\Delta_m) + \ell^1_m(\Delta_m)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(u_m) &= \{\cdots, 0, 0, u_{-n}, u_{-n+1} \cdots, u_0, \cdots, u_{n-1}, u_n, 0, 0, \cdots\}, \\ P_n^+(u_m) &= \{\cdots, 0, 0, u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, \cdots\}, \\ P_n^-(u_m) &= \{\cdots, u_{-n-2}, u_{-n-1}, 0, 0, \cdots\}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $I = P_n + P_n^+ + P_n^-$ and P_n, P_n^+ and P_n^- are uniformly bounded in $\ell^1_k(\Delta_m)$, $k = 0, 1$. Moreover, $P_n^+ : \ell^1_1(\Delta_m) \to \ell^1_0(\Delta_m)$ and $P_n^- : \ell^1_0(\Delta_m) \to \ell^1_1(\Delta_m)$, and their norms are bounded by 2^{-n}. Hence the Banach couple $(\ell^1_0(\Delta_m), \ell^1_1(\Delta_m))$ verifies the Approximation Hypothesis (AP).
The proof is complete.

6 Compactness Theorem of Persson Type

The first generalization of the Lions-Peetre compactness theorems was given by A. Persson [14]. The equality between the departure or arriving spaces is replaced by an approximation hypothesis. The general idea of Persson's theorem goes back to Krasnoselskii [10], where a compactness theorem of Riesz-Thorin type is proved.
Definition 1. A Banach couple (E_0, E_1) is said to verify *Lions' approximation condition* if a sequence $(P_n)_n$ of linear operators $P_n : E_0 + E_1 \to E_0 + E_1$ exists, with $P_n(E_k) \subset E_0 \cap E_1$, $k = 0, 1$, and such that $P_n x \to x$ in E_k as $n \to +\infty$, for each fixed $x \in E_k$, $k = 0, 1$.
Definition 2. A Banach couple (E_0, E_1) is said to verify *Persson's approximation condition* if, to each compact set $K \subset E_0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ and a set $\mathcal{P}$ of linear operators $P : E_0 + E_1 \to E_0 + E_1$, with $P(E_k) \subset E_0 \cap E_1$, $k = 0, 1$, such that

$$||P||_{L(E_k, E_k)} \leq C, \qquad k = 0, 1.$$

Furthermore, it is supposed that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ so that

$$||Px - x||_{E_0} < \varepsilon,$$

for all $x \in K$.

Remark. Banach–Steinhaus theorem shows that Lions's condition implies Persson's condition.

Theorem 6.1. Let (E_0, E_1), (F_0, F_1) and (G_0, G_1) be Banach couples such that the pair (G_0, G_1) satisfies Persson's condition. If $T \in Bil(E_k \times F_k, G_k)$ is compact from $E_0 \times F_0$ into G_0, given $\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{+-}$ and $\gamma(t) = 1/\overline{\rho}(t^{-1})$, then for spaces $E \in J_\gamma(E_0, E_1) \cap K_\gamma(E_0, E_1)$, $F \in J_\rho(F_0, F_1) \cap K_\rho(F_0, F_1)$ and $G \in J_\rho(G_0, G_1) \cap K_\rho(G_0, G_1)$, T is also compact from $E \times F$ into G.

Proof. The image $K = T(B_{E_0} \times B_{F_0})$ in G_0 of the unit ball $B_{E_0} \times B_{F_0}$ of $E_0 \times F_0$ is relatively compact in G_0. Hence, choosing P in accordance with Persson's approximation condition, we find

$$||PT(x, y) - T(x, y)||_{G_0} < \varepsilon,$$

for all $(x, y) \in B_{E_0} \times B_{F_0}$; that is

$$||PT - T||_{Bil(E_0 \times F_0, G_0)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

From Definition 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ||PT - T||_{Bil(E \times F, G)} &\leq C\, ||PT - T||_{Bil(E_0 \times F_0, G_0)}\, \overline{\rho}\left(\frac{||PT - T||_{Bil(E_1 \times F_1, G_1)}}{||PT - T||_{Bil(E_0 \times F_0, G_0)}}\right) \\ &\leq C\, \overline{\rho}(||T||_{Bil(E_1 \times F_1, G_1)})\, \frac{\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{||PT - T||_{Bil(E_0 \times F_0, G_0)}}\right)}{\frac{1}{||PT - T||_{Bil(E_0 \times F_0, G_0)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the bilinear mapping $T : E \times F \to G$ may be approximated uniformly by operators of the form PT, where $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Hence the theorem will follow if we prove that each mapping $PT : E \times F \to G$, with $P \in \mathcal{P}$, is compact.

According to the closed graph theorem, the mappings $P : G_k \to G_0 \cap G_1, \quad k = 0, 1$, are bounded. Since the composition of a compact and a bounded operator is compact, $PT : E_0 \times F_0 \to G$ is compact and $PT : E_1 \times F_1 \to G$ is bounded. Lions–Peetre's Theorem 4.3 shows that $PT : E \times F \to G$ is compact. Proof is thus complete.

References

[1] J. Berg and J. Löfströn, Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.

[2] D. W. Boyd, Indices of function spaces and their relationship to interpolation, Canadian J. Math. 21(1969), 1245-1254.

[3] D. W. Boyd, Indices for the Orlicz spaces, Pacific J. Math. 38(1971), 315-323.

[4] A. P. Calderón, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, Studia Math. 24 (1964), 113-190.

[5] F. Cobos and J. Peetre, Interpolation of compactness using Aronszajn-Gagliardo functors, Israel J. Math. 68(1989), 220-240.

[6] J. Gustavsson, A function parameter in connection with interpolation of Banach spaces, Math. Scand. 42(1978), 289-305.

[7] J. Gustavsson and J. Peetre, Interpolation of Orlicz spaces, Studia Math. 60 (1977), 33-59.

[8] K. Hayakawa, Interpolation by the real method preserves compactness of operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan 21(1969), 189-199.

[9] S. Janson, On interpolation of multi-linear operators, Function spaces and applications, Proc. US-Swed. Semin., Lund/Swed., Lect. Notes Math. 1302, 290-302 (1988). Springer-Verlag.

[10] M. A. Krasnoselskii, On a Theorem of M. Riesz, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 132 (1960), 510 - 513.

[11] J. L. Lions and J. Peetre, Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation, Pub. Math. de l'I.H.E.S. 19 (1964), 5-68.

[12] L. Maligranda, Interpolation of some spaces of Orlicz type: II Bilinear interpolation, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci., Math. 37, No.7-12, 453-457 (1989).

[13] M. Mastylo, On interpolation of bilinear operators, J. Funct. Anal. 214, No. 2, 260-283 (2004)

[14] A. Persson, Compact linear mappings between interpolations spaces, Ark. Mat. 5 (1964), 215-219.